

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 618/2013

ANTARRASHTRIYA MANAV ADHIKAAR NIGRAANI PARISHAD Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

W.P. (C) No. 676/2013 (PIL-W)

Date : 01-08-2018 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTAFor Petitioner(s) Ms. Shobha, AOR
Mr. Pritesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Purushottam Sharma Tripathi, AORFor Respondent(s) Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, ASG
Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG
Ms. Snidha Mehra, Adv.
Ms. Sadamini Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Kiran Suri, Adv.
Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Gautam Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Atulesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, Adv.
Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Makker, AOR

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, AOR

A.P. Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, Adv.
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.Arunachal Pradesh Mr. Anil Shrivastav, AOR
Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR
Mr. Sayooj Mohandas, Adv.

Chhattisgarh Mr. Jugal Kishor Gilda, Adv.

	Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, AOR Mr. A. Selvin Raja, Adv. Mr. Chirag Jain, Adv.
Gujarat	Ms. Hemantika Wahi, AOR Ms. Jesal Wahi, Adv. Ms. Mamta Singh, Adv. Ms. Puja Singh, Adv.
Haryana	Dr. Monika Gusain, AOR Ms. Manpreet Kaur Bhalla, Adv.
Jharkhand	Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Mohd. Waquas, Adv. Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Adv.
J&K	Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv. Mr. M. Shoeb Alam, AOR
Karnataka	Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv.
Kerala	Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR Anu K. Joy, Adv. Mr. Ali Anwar, Adv.
Manipur	Mr. Leishangthem Roshmani, Adv. Ms. Maibam Babina, Adv.
Meghalaya	Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
Mizoram	Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv. Ms. Nitya Madhusoodhanan, Adv. Mr. T.G.N. Nair, Adv.
M.P.	Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.
Maharashtra	Ms. Deepa M. Kulkarni, Adv. Mr. Nishant R. Katneshwarkar, Adv.
Nagaland	Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Z.H. Isaac Haiding, Adv.
Punjab	Mr. Karan Bharihoke, Adv. Ms. Navkiran Balay, Adv.
Rajasthan	Mr. S.S. Shamsbery, AAG Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv. Mr. Ankit Raj, Adv.

	Ms. Nidhi Jaiswal, Adv. Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv. Ms. Ruchi Kohli, AOR
Sikkim	Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Avneesh Arputham, Adv. Ms. Anuradha Arputham, Adv. M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co.
Telangana	Mr. P. Venkat Reddy, Adv. Mr. Prashant Kr. Tyagi, Adv. For M/S. Venkat Palwai Law Associates
Tamil Nadu	Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, Adv. Mrs. Sujatha Bagadhi, Adv. Mr. S. Partha Sarathi, Adv. Mr. Chanchal Kumar Ganguli, AOR
Tripura	Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.
W.B.	Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Adv. Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv. Mr. Amit Verma, Adv. Ms. Kajal Dalal, Adv. Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, AOR Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, AOR Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
A&N	Ms. G. Indira, AOR Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Adv. Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv.
Puducherry	Mr. V. G. Pragasam, AOR Mr. S. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv. Mr. S. Manuraj, Adv. Mr. B. Balaji, AOR Mr. Gopal Singh, AOR Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv. Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
FCI	Ms. Indra Sawhney, AOR

Mr. Suvendu Suvasis Dash, AOR

Mr. G. Prakash, AOR

Ms. Tanmay Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Upendra Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Pragati Neekhara, AOR

Mr. Arjun Vinod Bobde, Adv.

Ms. Richa Relhan, Adv.

Mr. Santosh Rebello, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Joseph, AOR

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, AOR

Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR

Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR

Mr. Mohit D., Adv.

Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, AOR

Ms. Anindita Pujari, AOR

Ms. Kavita Bhardwaj, Adv.

Ms. Aarti Kumar, Adv.

Mr. T.N. Rama Rao, Adv.

Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. T. Veera Reddy, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have seen the report prepared by learned counsel for the petitioner which is in three volumes.

Learned counsel for the Union of India as well as the States / UTs may respond to the report and give it to learned counsel for the petitioner who may study the responses and file a report. The responses need not be filed in the Court.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner by way of an affidavit that there are some States / UTs that have not created

a link as required and no chart has been filled up.

The defaulting States, with which we are concerned at the present moment, are Jharkhand, Tamil Nadu and Uttrakhand. There is no appearance on behalf of the State of Uttrakhand.

In view of the default of these 3 States, costs of 50,000/- are imposed upon these States. The amount be deposited within four weeks from today with the Supreme Court Legal Services Authority for utilization of juvenile justice issues.

The other defaulting States / UTs are Arunachal Pradesh, Dadar and Nagar Haveli and Puducherry. For the time being, we are not imposing any costs on these States. We expect these States will comply with the directions passed by this Court from time to time.

It has also been pointed out on affidavit that some States have created a link and only one chart has been filled up and in respect of some other States, only the State level chart is filled up and one composite chart as against district / school wise chart.

To comply with the orders of this Court and to ensure that the link contains all the charts duly filled up, list the matter on 20.09.2018.

(MEENAKSHI KOHLI)
COURT MASTER

(KAILASH CHANDER)
COURT MASTER